

1. SBPDCL - Abnormal sales reported to certain category of the consumer during FY 2024-25 - The petitioner was asked about the steps taken towards the compliance of the directive of the Commission in FY 2025-26 Tariff order with regard to third party audit of connected load for metered and un-metered category of consumers in case of IAS-II and SS-I and SS-II category of consumers. SBPDCL submitted that they have appointed an agency for mapping of load of the IAS-II category of consumers and making necessary correction in connected load based on findings of the survey agency. The Commission directed SBPDCL to submit the connected load and sale recorded during first half of FY 2025-26 for IAS II categories of consumers.

**Petitioner's Response:**

The aforesaid query of the Hon'ble Commission pertains to SBPDCL.

2. SBPDCL — Prior period expenses — The Plant wise prior period expenses details provided by the petitioner against the reply to Data Gap 1 in Annexure -4 \_ true up file is not matches with the prior period expenses reflected in the Audited statement of account for FY 2024-25. The petitioner is asked to reconcile the same and submit the same to the Commission.

**Petitioner's Response:**

The aforesaid query of the Hon'ble Commission pertains to SBPDCL.

3. NBPDCCL & SBPDCL — FPPAS — the petitioners are directed to comply with the direction given by the Commission in order Case no 26/ 2025 regarding excess revenue recovery on monthly basis as per clause 20.9 and 20.10 of BERC MYT Distribution tariff regulations 2023.

**Petitioner's Response:** Reference is hereby made to the MYT Distribution Regulation 2024 under Chapter 20 where relevant clauses are quoted here under for ready reference:-

**"20.1**

*Fuel and Power Purchase Adjustment Surcharge" (FPPAS) means the increase in cost of power, supplied to consumers, due to change in Fuel cost, power purchase cost and transmission charges with reference to cost of supply approved by the State Commission. ---*

**20.9**

*In case of excess revenue recovered for the year against the fuel and power purchase adjustment surcharge, the same shall be recovered from the licensee at the time of true up along with its carrying cost to be charged at the rate approved by the Commission for working capital in the ARR determination of the relevant financial year and the under recovery of fuel and power purchase adjustment surcharge shall be allowed during true up, to be billed along with the automatic Fuel and Power Purchase Adjustment Surcharge amount ---*

**20.10**

*The distribution licensee shall submit such details, in the stipulated formats, of the variation between expenses incurred and the fuel and power purchase adjustment surcharge recovered, and the detailed computations and supporting documents, as required by the State Commission, during true up of the normal tariff. ---*

**20.11**

*To ensure smooth implementation of the fuel and power purchase adjustment surcharge mechanism and its recovery, the distribution licensee shall ensure that the licensee billing system is updated to take this into account and a unified billing system shall be implemented to ensure that there is a uniform billing system irrespective of the billing and metering vendor through interoperability or use of open source software as available.”*

It may be noted that No FPPAS has been found recoverable in the entire True-up year on account of clause 20.1 as mentioned above. As such, no case of excess recovery on account of FPPAS can take place. Upon perusal of the above clauses, it is evident that the excess recovery is to be taken up at the time of true-up but no such recovery has actually taken place on account of pass-through of FPPAS.

It is further respectfully submitted that in the true-up exercise, wholesome view of the power purchase cost is considered as the FPPAS regulation does not consider the power purchase expenditure incurred through prior period bills, revision bills, miscellaneous bills etc.

4. SBPDCL: The petitioner was directed to submit the reason for booking DSM (UI) charges of 66.78 Cr under prior period expenses for FY 2024-25.

**Petitioner's Response:**

The aforesaid query of the Hon'ble Commission pertains to SBPDCL.

5. NBPDC & SBPDCL: It was noted that the petitioner had purchased costly power from the power exchange at an average rate of Rs 7/ kWh during FY 2024-25. as revealed from reply of petitioner to Data Gap 1 query no 13(ii). The petitioners were directed to submit 15-minute time slot wise data for such incidences during FY 2024-25 when power is purchased from exchange at rate more than Rs 5 per kWh.

**Petitioner's Response:** The Petitioner hereby submits the requisite details as an **Annexure-I**

6. NBPDC & SBPDCL- The petitioners were asked to submit the current status of progress of work in case of Power plants to be commissioned during FY 2025-26 - Greenco Ltd (Solar +PSP) , Kajra Phase 1 (Solar BESS), Phulwaria Dam and in FY 2026-27 - Buxar unit 2, PM KUSUM, Adani Green etc and methodology of projecting power purchase quantum from Greenco and Kajra power plants during FY 2025-26 & FY 2026-27.

**Petitioner's Response:**

The Petitioner hereby submits that the requisite information related to current status of progress of work that has already been provided by Petitioner vide its letter no. 5 dated 05.01.2026 (NBPDC) in reply to BERC Data Gap 2. Methodology for projection is given below:

**Methodology for calculating energy availability from Hybrid plant for FY 25-26**

**Greenco hybrid:**

- Solar (210 MW) supply during daytime: - Considered CUF 19%
- PSP supply (105 MW) supply during peak for 6 hours- Considered CUF 25% i.e. (6 Hrs./24 Hrs.)
- No of days considered 120 days.

1. **Kajra Solar Plant:**

- Solar (185 MW) supply during daytime: - CUF 19% considered
- **BESS supply (45.4 MW)** supply during peak for 4 hours- Considered CUF 16.6% i.e. ( 4 Hrs/24 Hrs)
- No of days considered 120 days:

7. SBPDCL & NBPDCCL - RPO compliance- It has been observed that the petitioners have computed the energy generation from the roof top solar projects (net meter / gross meter) based on theoretical assumption (4 units/ KW per month) and counted the same towards the fulfillment of Other RPO for FY 2024-25. The petitioners were asked to produce the actual metered generation from the Roof top solar - projects for compliance of RPO.

**Petitioner's Response:**

The Petitioner respectfully submits to produce the relevant clauses of the order issued by Ministry of Power dated 27th September 2025 as quoted below:

*Note 4: "The obligation under the Distributed renewable energy component shall be met from the energy generated from renewable energy projects that do not exceed 10 MW in size and shall include solar installations under all configurations (net metering, gross metering, virtual net metering, group net metering, behind the meter installations and any other configuration) and Other renewable energy sources notified by the Central Government"*

*"Provided that the compliance against Distributed renewable energy obligation shall ordinarily be considered in terms of energy (kilowatt hour units): Provided further that in case the designated consumer is unable to provide generation data against Distributed renewable energy installations, the reported capacity shall be converted into Distributed renewable energy generation in terms of energy by a multiplier of 4 kilowatt hour per kilowatt per day (kWh/kW/day)"*

The Petitioner's respectfully submits that in Bihar DISCOMs, all applicable prosumers are under Net Metering Arrangement wherein billing/settlement is done based on net import/export readings which are generally installed at door-bell location in the consumers' premises. Moreover, these data are directly communicable to the billing system in case smart meters are used in Net-Metering mode. However, to collect the solar generation data, entry inside the premises is required which is generally not permitted by the owners as well as this would take considerable time and cost to the DISCOMs manpower which would negatively impact the financial health of the DISCOMs. However, the Petitioner submits that wherever the data was available for solar generation unit directly same has been considered.

Additionally, the BRENDA, the designated nodal agency, is also providing the data of solar generation units based on methodology issued by Ministry of Power as mentioned above.

Therefore, in view of above fact it is respectfully prayed before the Hon'ble Commission to kindly consider the petitioner's request to allow the units considered towards energy generation from rooftop solar projects for the fulfillment of the other RPO for the financial year 2024-25.

8. SBPDCL & NBPDCCL — The petitioners were asked to reconcile the green power purchase from Power exchange which is shown towards fulfilment of RPO. The petitioner provided the necessary information during the TVS.

**Petitioner's Response:** The Petitioner's has already provided the requisite information during the TVS session.

9. SBPDCL: The petitioner is directed to submit a short note on utilization of REC purchased during FY 2023-24 and FY 2024-25 towards compliance of RPO during FY 2024-25 and previous years RPO short fall with supporting orders of the Commission.

**Petitioner's Response:** The aforesaid query of the Hon'ble Commission pertains to SBPDCL.

10. SBPDCL: It has been observed that the DSM quantum (MU) reported by the petitioner have different values in tariff format Annexure 17 and Annexure 6 The petitioner is directed to submit the correct information. Similarly, DSM amount booked in audited account (Rs. 60.03 Cr.) in note no 55 is not in line with the ERPC DSM account (Rs. 60.97 Cr). The petitioner is directed to clarify the same.

**Petitioner's Response:** The aforesaid query of the Hon'ble Commission pertains to SBPDCL.

11. SBPDCL: The petitioner is asked to explain the reason for claiming higher capitalization amounting to Rs 2952.93 Cr during FY 2024-25 against the Rs 1498.99 Cr as reflected in Audited accounts for FY 2024-25. The petitioner clarified that they had undertaken the field level exercise covering the actual expenditures made based on reconciliation of actual capitalization under the Reconductoring and MKVSY scheme from FY 2018-19 onwards and the increased capitalization claim is a result of the same. The Commission clarified that the Trueing up exercise shall be carried out based on capitalization recorded in Audited statement of account.

**Petitioner's Response:** The aforesaid query of the Hon'ble Commission pertains to SBPDCL.

12. NBPDCCL & SBPDCL: The petitioners are directed to submit scheme wise capex and capitalisation information as per audited account in the following format.

Name of Scheme	Closing CWIP of FY 24-25 (A)	Opening CWIP of FY 24-25 (B)	Capitalisation of FY 24-25 (C)	Capex for FY 24-25 (A-B+C)

Note. \* total for each column should be as per values reflected in audited account for FY 2024-25.

**Petitioner's Response:**

For NBPDCCL:

The Petitioner has submitted the desired information vide its letter no. 05 dated 05.01.2026 under BERC Data Gap 2 Reply in Question No. 10

*bk*

*[Signature]*

*[Signature]*

13. NBPDCCL: The petitioner is directed to reconcile and submit the scheme wise grant provided in reply to Data Gap 1 under query no 22 for FY 2024-25 with respect to grant amount provided in the petition.

**Petitioner's Response:** The Petitioner has submitted the desired information in vide letter no. 05 dated 05.01.2026 under BERC Data Gap 2 Reply in Question No. 2

14. NBPDCCL & SBPDCL: The petitioners are directed to furnished the specific Demand side management work carried out during FY 2024-25 and corelate the amount spent towards DSM with audited statement of account for FY 2024-25 (for SBPDCL, kindly refer Data Gap 1 query no 28 and for NBPDCCL refer Data Gap-I query no 26).

**Petitioner's Response:**

The Petitioner respectfully submits that during FY 2024-25, new energy-efficient Distribution/Power transformers/ToD compatible meters and metering unit were procured from various suppliers as part of its Demand Side Management (DSM) initiatives. The details of these procurements have already been submitted to the Hon'ble Commission in response to the queries raised in BERC letter no. 1142 dated 28.11.2025 (Sr No 26).

It is further reiterated that the audited accounts do not separately identify expenditures specifically for DSM activities. This is because of the purchase of new energy-efficient Distribution/Power transformers/ToD compatible meters and metering unit for the purpose of carrying out DSM activities becomes part of Gross Fixed Asset (GFA) in accordance with Note 2 of Audited Accounts.

As a result, DSM-related expenses are not separately categorized in the audited financial statements. Nevertheless, it is important to emphasize that all figures presented in the annual accounts, including those related to DSM activities, are audited and duly reflect the expenses incurred. However, it is to submit that the Depreciation on the assets created by this expenditure is not being considered as per the methodology being adopted in the MYT Regulation.

In view of the above, the Petitioner request the Hon'ble Commission to approve the DSM expense to the tune of Rs.30 Crore for FY 2024-25 against the approval of the Hon'ble Commission under DSM head (Rs. 30 Cr.) in ARR of FY 25.

15. NBPDCCL & SBPDCL: The petitioners were informed with the help of data that the derived Actual Energy charges (Rs. kWh, Rs./KVAh as applicable) based on data submitted by the petitioner does not match with Commission approved energy charges (Rs. kWh, Rs./KVAh ) in the tariff order of FY 2024-25. The petitioners were directed to check the data and submit the reasons for mismatch for categories — NBPDCCL — All HT categories, Railway and SBPDCL - HTS (General 111), HT (EV charging),

**NBPDCCL True Up FY 2024-25**

s. No	Category	Sales (kWh)	Energy charges (Rs. cr)	Realized Rate as per petition Rs/kWh	Approved Energy Rate (Rs/kVAh)	Power Factor
1	IAS-II	181.88	144.90	7.966	7.17	0.90
2	PWW	36.29	39.19	10.799	9.72	0.90
3	LTIS-I	419.96	363.50	8.655	7.79	0.90
4	LTIS-II	99.85	86.43	8.655	7.79	0.90
5	HTS-I General	575.27	494.38	8.593	7.98	0.93

Response to TVS queries held on 29.12.2025

6	HTS-II General	244.24	210.06	8.594	7.92	0.92
7	HTS-III General	110.26	92.81	8.417	7.85	0.93
8	HTS-IV General)	70.07	53.32	8.323	7.79	0.94
9	HTIS-I Industrial	12.38	10.63	8.586	7.98	0.93
10	I-HTIS-II industrial	20.33	17.50	8.607	7.92	0.92
11	HTS 02	0.92	0.55	5.978	5.43	0.91
12	HTSS	48.93	27.14	5.546	4.94	0.89
13	RTS	128.64	116.41	9.049	8.16	0.90

SBPDCL True Up FY 2024-25

S.NO	Category	Sales (KWh)	Energy Charges (Rs Crores)	Realised Rate as per petition (Rs./Kwh)	Approved Energy Rate FY 2024-25 (Rs./KVAh)	Power factor
1	IAS II	493.47	391.45	7.93	7.17	0.90
2	PWW	152.59	157.61	10.33	9.72	0.94
3	LTIS I	300.50	239.24	7.96	7.79	0.98
4	LTIS II	182.97	156.70	8.56	7.79	0.91
5	HTS (General)- I (11 kV)	890.43	738.37	8.29	7.98	0.96
6	HTS (General)- II (33 kV)	729.86	577.13	7.91	7.92	1.00
7	HTS (General)- III (132 kV)	289.75	161.75	5.58	7.85	1.41
8	HTIS (Industrial)Oxygen Manufacturers (11 kV)	6.32	3.37	5.34	5.43	1.02
9	HTSS - (33 kV/11	722.02	337.63	4.68	4.94	1.06
10	Railway Traction Services	311.38	251.83	8.09	8.16	1.01
11	HT Electric Vehicle Charging Stations	0.02	0.02	9.48	7.85	0.83

**Petitioner's Response:**

The Petitioner hereby submits the details as follows:

For NBPDCCL:

S.No.	Category	Sales (kWh)	Sales (kVAh)	Energy charges (Rs. cr)	Realized Rate as per petition (Rs/kWh)	Realized Rate as per petition (Rs/kVAh)	Approved Energy (Rs/kVAh)	Power Factor
1	IAS-II	181.88	202.09	144.9	7.97	7.17	7.17	0.90
2	PWW	36.29	40.32	39.19	10.80	9.72	9.72	0.90
3	LTIS-I	419.96	466.62	363.5	8.66	7.79	7.79	0.90
4	LTIS-II	99.85	110.94	86.43	8.66	7.79	7.79	0.90
5	HTS-I General	575.27	639.19	494.38	8.59	7.73	7.98	0.90
6	HTS-II General	244.24	271.38	210.06	8.59	7.74	7.92	0.90
7	HTS-III General	110.26	122.51	92.81	8.42	7.58	7.85	0.90
8	HTS-IV General)	70.07	77.86	53.32	8.32	6.85	7.79	0.90
9	HTIS-I Industrial	12.38	13.76	10.63	8.59	7.73	7.98	0.90
10	HTIS-II industrial	20.33	22.59	17.5	8.61	7.75	7.92	0.90
11	HTS 02	0.92	1.02	0.55	5.98	5.38	5.43	0.90
12	HTSS	48.93	54.37	27.14	5.55	4.99	4.94	0.90
13	RTS	128.64	142.93	116.41	9.05	8.14	8.16	0.90

The Petitioner hereby further submits that the variation in the Average Billing Rate (ABR) for LT Industries is attributable to seasonal loading observed during the financial year 2024-25, which was charged in accordance with the directions of the Hon'ble Commission as outlined in the Tariff Order for FY 2026. Further, with respect to HT and RTS categories, the variance in ABR arises from the implementation of Time-of-Day (ToD) billing/Load Factor Rebate/Open Access units considered in the financial year 2024-25.

16. NRPDCL & SBPDCL: The petitioners were informed with the help of data that the derived Actual Energy, charges (Rs. kWh, Rs./KVAh as applicable) based on data submitted by the petitioner does not match with Commission approved energy charges (Rs. kWh, Rs./KVAh ) in the tariff order of FY 2024-25. The petitioners were directed to check the data and submit the reasons for mismatch for categories — for SBPDCL DS-II and DS 111 and for NRPDCL -DS-III as shown in following table:

## SBPDCL FY 2024-25

SN	Category	Sales (Mus)	Energy Charges (Rs Crores)	Realised Rate as per petition (Rs./Kwh)	Approved Energy Rate FY 2024-25 (Rs./KWh)
1	DS II (Demand Based)				
	1-100 Units	1764.19	1030.90	5.84	7.42

Response to TVS queries held on 29.12.2025

SN	Category	Sales (Mus)	Energy Charges (Rs Crores)	Realised Rate as per petition (Rs./Kwh)	Approved Energy Rate FY 2024-25 (Rs./KWh)
	Above 100 units	1628.49	1792.98	11.01	8.95
2	DS III (Demand based) (optional)	0.24	0.22	9.21	9.03

NBPDCL FY 2024-25

SN	Category	Sales (Mus)	Energy Charges (Rs Crores)	Realised Rate as per petition (Rs./Kwh)	Approved Tariff for FY 2024-25 (Rs./KWh)
1	DS III (Demand based) (optional)	0.46	0.41	8.92	9.03

**Petitioner's Response:**

The Petitioner's hereby submits that requisite details have been already provided under Data Gap 2. However, the same has been provided below:

The Petitioner's hereby submits the details as follows:

**NBPDCL**

S.No.	Category	Sales (MU)	Energy Charge (Rs. Crore)	Realised Rate as per Petition (Rs./KWh)	Approved Tariff for FY 2024-25 (Rs./kWh)
1	DS III (Demand based) (optional)	0.46	0.41538	9.03	9.03

The anomaly arose since Energy charge (in Cr.) was taken upto two decimal places only. 0

17. NBPDCL & SBPDCL: The petitioners were informed with the help of data that in case of HT consumers the PF derived based on revenue earned from energy charges and energy sale in KWh and comparing it with energy charges approved by Commission in Rs / KVAh during FY 2024 25 is coming more than 1. The petitioners are directed to check the data and submit the reasons for variance.

**Petitioner's Response:**

Reply of the query is already submitted vide the answer to the question no. 15.

*lke* *ST*

18. SBPDCL: The claimed depreciation in the petition for FY 2024-25 is not matches with the statement of audited account submitted with the petition. The petitioner directed to clarify the same (please refer Data Gap reply 1, query no 26)

**Petitioner's Response:** The aforesaid query of the Hon'ble Commission pertains to SBPDCL.

19. NBPDCCL & SBPDCL: The petitioners were directed to submit the present status and likely date of completion in respect of preparation of Fixed Asset Register.

**Petitioner's Response:**

The Petitioner hereby respectfully submits that Bihar DISOMs have prepared its Fixed Asset Statement up to FY 2023-24. The said Fixed Asset Statement submitted consists of cost of acquisition of individual item of asset and depreciation thereon, which clearly indicates that in no way depreciation is charged more than 90% on any asset, thereby addressing the objection of Hon'ble Commission. Further, Bihar DISOMs has also submitted the Fixed Asset Statement of FY 2024-25 while filing the True-up petition for FY 2024-25.

Bihar DISOMs hereby submits that as per the direction of Hon'ble Commission the DISCOMs has put a joint effort in releasing a tender bearing NIT no. 83/PR/NBPDCCL/2025 which was floated by NBPDCCL on behalf of both the DISCOMs for "Selection of System Integrator (SI) for preparation of Fixed Asset Register and Maintenance Management for BSPHCL and its subsidiary companies & maintenance management for 5 Years"

Further, Letter of Award (LoA) has been issued to the selected agency for the preparation of the Fixed Asset Register. The agency has duly submitted their requisite Bank Guarantee, and the project is scheduled for completion within 18 months from the date of signing the contract. Therefore, Bihar DISCOMs once again humbly request the Hon'ble Commission to reconsider the disallowance made with regards to the non-preparation of Fixed Asset Register. The Details of Steps taken by DISCOM for preparation of FAR is as follows:

Sl. No.	Activity	Date
1	SoW and Estimation prepared and reviewed by CMD and MDs	10/01/2025
2	Agenda placed before BoD, BSPHCL	14/01/2025
3	Agenda Withdrawn with an instruction to review the agenda and place in next meeting	15/01/2025
4	Team visit to PGCIL, Gurgaon to gain clearer understanding of Initial preparation and operational assets of FAR	03/02/2025
5	Revised Agenda placed before BoD, BSPHCL	13/02/2025
6	Approval from BoD Accorded on estimate of 265.38 Crore with cost sharing amongst SBPDCL, NBPDCCL & BSPTCL as 40:51:9	14/02/2025
7	Approval communicated vide BoD Resolution no. 117-08.01	24/02/2025
8	Agenda Placed for preparation of Fixed Asset Register (FAR) and Enterprise Asset Management (EAM) from Project Department, NBPDCCL for SBPDCL, BSPHCL, BSPTCL, BSPGCL and NBPDCCL.	24/03/2025
9	Approval from BoD Accorded for preparation of Fixed Asset Register (FAR) and Enterprise Asset Management (EAM) from Project Department, NBPDCCL for SBPDCL, BSPHCL, BSPTCL, BSPGCL and NBPDCCL.	25/03/2025
10	Financial Concurrence Obtained from SBPDCL	27/03/2025
11	Financial Concurrence Obtained from NBPDCCL	01/04/2025

12	All necessary Documents shared by BSPHCL IT project Team with NBPDCCL Project Team for further processing	02/04/2025
13	Financial Concurrence Obtained from BSPTCL	10/04/2025
14	Draft RFP Prepared and presented before CMD and MDs	30/06/2025
15	Based on review comments revised draft presented before CMD and MDs along with estimates with different options (Two circles of each DISCOM upto LT line minimum value vs Two circles of each DISCOM upto LT line maximum value vs All circles of DISCOM upto DT Level with manpower at Circle level vs All circles of DISCOM upto DT Level with manpower at Division level vs All circles of DISCOM upto LT Level with manpower at Circle level vs All circles of DISCOM upto LT Level with manpower at Division level)	04/07/2025
16	Pros and Cons of each option presented before CMD and MDs and All circles of DISCOM upto DT Level with manpower at Division level with estimate of 216.30 Crore was finalized and accordingly scope of survey was revised for faster completion and to build a stronger foundation for GIS and asset maintenance	08/07/2025
17	NIT Notice Published in Newspaper with estimated cost of 216 Crore	25/07/2025
18	Bid Submission Start Date	08/08/2025
19	Pre-Bid Meeting	18/08/2025
20	Prebid queries discussed and response uploaded with date of last submission as 15-09-2025	29/08/2025
21	Bid Submission End Date Extension till 25-09-2025	15/09/2025
22	Corrigendum Issued	22/09/2025
23	Bid Submission End Date Extension till 06-10-2025	25/09/2025
24	2 Bids received, Technocommercial part opened after approval	22/10/2025
25	Sub-Committee Formed for preliminary examination and observation of bids	30/10/2025
26	1st Meeting of TEC (tender Evaluation Committee)	11/11/2025
27	Clarification asked from Bidder	12/11/2025
28	Clarification received	17/11/2025
29	2nd Meeting of TEC	18/11/2025
30	3rd Meeting of TEC held after presentation	24/11/2025
31	Price part opened after approval from competent authority	25/11/2025
32	4th meeting of TEC	29/11/2025
33	5th meeting of TEC	01/12/2025
34	Board of Director Approval on Award of work	04/12/2025
35	LoA Issued to M/s Infinite Computer Solutions (India) Limited	10/12/2025
36	PBG Submitted by M/s Infinite Computer Solutions (India) Limited	05/01/2026
37	Signing of Contract Agreement in progress	

20. NBPDCCL & SBPDCL: The petitioners were directed to submit month wise Share Allocation letter for FY 2024-25 and FY 2025-26 obtained from ERPC for information of the Commission.

**Petitioner's Response:** The Petitioner hereby submits the desired information as sought by Hon'ble Commission for FY 25 & FY 26 as an **Annexure-2**

21. NBPDCCL & SBPDCL: The petitioners were directed to submit the actual share allocation between NBPDCCL and SBPDCL for FY 2024-25.

**Petitioner's Response:**

The Petitioner hereby submits that as per annual accounts for FY 25 the actual share allocation - 45.6% (NBPDC) & 54.4% (SBPDCL) respectively.

22. NBPDC: The petitioner is directed to submit short note on projection of sale to Nepal during FY 2025-26 & FY 2026-27 and future trend of same.

**Petitioner's Response:** In this regard, it is hereby submitted that NBPDC sold 196.49 MU of power to Nepal during FY 2024-25, whereas during the ongoing FY 2025-26, the sold quantum has reduced significantly to only 30.863 MU till Nov 2025. It has been observed that Nepal is now meeting most of its power requirement through procurement from Indian Power Exchanges, resulting in a significant decline in bilateral purchases from Bihar under the PEC mechanism. In view of this changed procurement pattern, the sale of power to Nepal during FY 2025-26 is expected to remain zero. If in case of exigency, power is taken by Nepal, the same may be taken up during the true-up.

23. NBPDC & SBPDCL: The petitioner are directed to submit a note on CTU charges paid during last six months and the reasons for month-to-month variance in payment of CTU charges.

**Petitioner's Response:**

The Petitioner hereby submits that CTU charges as submitted in petition includes bills raised by CTUIL (Monthly/ Quarterly/RTDA), PGCIL (Non-POC Bills for ULDC Charges, Deferred Tax/FERV Bills) & T-GNA Bills (Raised by NLDC). The Summary of which is reproduced here below for which copy of bills/Excel has already been submitted to the Hon'ble Commission through Tariff Petition and Data Gap.

Months	NBPDC		SBPDCL		BSPHCL	
	PGCIL/CTUIL	TGNA	PGCIL/CTUIL	TGNA	PGCIL/CTUIL	TGNA
Apr-25	51.16	3.03	60.06	3.56	111.22	6.60
May-25	60.37	10.49	70.86	12.32	131.23	22.81
Jun-25	62.99	19.59	73.95	23.00	136.94	42.58
Jul-25*	76.37	7.28	89.66	8.55	166.03	15.83
Aug-25	58.12	24.13	68.23	28.32	126.35	52.45
<b>Total</b>	<b>309.01</b>	<b>64.53</b>	<b>362.76</b>	<b>75.75</b>	<b>671.77</b>	<b>140.28</b>

The reason for month-to-month variation is due to payment of CTU charges against T-GNA as well as quarterly (Bill 2) generated by CTUIL.

24. NBPDC & SBPDCL: The petitioners were asked to substantiate why compound rate of interest is requested on computation of carrying cost for recovery of prior period adjustment made by the Commission for BSEB period. The petitioners refer few orders of other states. However, it was agreed by petitioners that they will abide by the decision of the Commission in this regard.

**Petitioner's Response:** The Petitioner hereby submits that the required information was duly provided under the response to Data Gap 1. Further, it is once again submitted that MYT Regulations 2024 has not categorically specified about the consideration of Carrying Cost - Simple or Compounding for calculating the accumulated interest for previous periods. In the absence of the same, the Petitioner has relied upon the

practices adopted in several other states such as, Uttar Pradesh, Goa and in view of the judgement passed by different courts (Supreme Court: Civil Appeal No. 4336 of 2025, APTEL Judgement in Appeal No. 163 of 2020 & Appeal No. 171 of 2020 and Appeal No. 147 of 2020) for related instances.

Therefore, once again the petitioner's here by request to Hon'ble Commission to allow the computation done as done in the tariff petition.

beck  
AEE (com)  
MOPDCL

July  
ESE (com)

a